To: T. MASSEY (EPA9374)

From: T. MASSEY (EPA9374) Delivered: More 22-June-87 16:56 EDT Sys 163

Subject: ATTN: Garrett Arai -- Draft Action Memo Jims Liquid Waste Site

Mail Id: IPM-163-870622-152450836

Funding Request for Removal Action at Jim's Liquid Waste Site, Culpeper, Virginia

Garrett H. Arai, On-Scene Coordinator Emergency Resoonse Section (3HW22)

James M. Seif Regional Administrator (3RA00)

HRU: Stephen R. Wassersug, Director
Hazardous Waste Management Division (3HW00)

I. PURPOSE

This is a request for funding to initiate a removal action at the Jim's Liquid Waste Site, Culpeper County, Virginia. This site contains materials actively leaking from deteriorating buried drums. An estimated 500 buried drums are located at the site and the substances leaking from these drums pose a significant risk of harm to human health and welfare and the environment. The requested funding in the amount of \$906,772 will be used to remove and dispose of the buried drums and any contaminated soil.

II. BACKGROUND

The Jim's Liquid Waste Site is located approximately three miles west of Culpeper, Culpeper County, Virginia. A residence is located on the site and approximately 23 people live within a quarter-mile radius.

According to a Virginia Department of Hazardous Waste Management (VDHWM) preliminary assessment, the site was used from 1974 to 1982 for the disposal of waste solvents, septic wastes, and restaurant prease. Disposal methods included the burial of drums containing wastes, dumping of liquids and sludges into lagoons and trenches, and incineration of solvents. Wastes accepted at the facility are reported to include organic solvents, epoxy resins, paints, thinners, phenols, coal tars, vinyl ester amine epoxy, asbestos, and chromium wastes.

In 1979, the Virginia Department of Health directed the facility to discontinue acceptance of industrial waste. In 1981, the owner of the facility has advised to remove the numerous drums present both on the surface and buried at various locations.

In October 1986, VDWM received a report from an anonymous former employee hat buried drums remained on the site. VDWM requested assistance from EPA (egion III to conduct further assessment activities in February 1987.

In February and March 1987, a joint preliminary assessment was conducted by IPA/ERS, VDWM, and TAT. Surface drums containing grayish residues, which ontained organic solvents, were sampled; an inventory of all surface drums was lade; the Myers' residential well was sampled; and a magnetometer survey was conducted over areas reported to contain buried drums. The magnetometer survey indicated that buried metal was present in several areas on the property and the distribution and magnetic "signature" of the buried metal was consistent with that encountered over buried drums. The magnetometer survey indicated that approximately 250 to 400 drums were buried at two locations on the site ranging in depth from two to five feet.

In April 1987, TAT sampled two on-site monitoring wells. The sample malysis indicated that both monitoring wells contained organic semi-volatile hemicals, as shown in Table I as follows on the following page.

In June 1987, TAT performed a soil gas survey at the site in the area argeted by the magnetometer data. Field reconnaisance and the soil gas ampling identified drums exposed at the surface and buried at depths of 0 to 3 eet. The drums found partially buried are in poor condition and organic vapors are detected in concentrations of up to 400 ppm in the soils at the drum burial reas which indicates that the buried drums are leaking. Field screening with rager tubes indicates that the drums contain xylene and toluene. This is apported by the contaminated shallow proundwater found in the monitoring wells the site.

I. THREAT

Jim's Liquid Waste site meets the criteria for a removal action under the tional Contingency Plan in that there is a potential threat to public health welfare and/or the environment based upon Section 300.65 factors (1) and /) of subpart (b)(2) as follows:

- (i) Actual or potential exposure to hazardous substances by nearby sulations, animals or food chain.
- (iv) High levels of hazardous substances largely at or near the surface t may migrate.

TABLE I

ELL #	CHEMICAL	CONCENTRATION LEVEL (ug/L)	
onitoring well #1	oxirane 2,3-dimethyl	54	
-	1,2-hexanol, 2-mryhyl	330	
	1-octanol	48	
	hexanolic acid, 2-ethyl	16	
	1,5-pentamediq1, 3-methyl	15	
	3-undecene, 7-methyl(2)	17	
	1-decanol	32	
	benzoic acid	1.8	
	dodecenoic acid	14	
	ethanol, 2-butoxy phosphate	44	
onitoring well #2	ethanol, 2-butoxy phosphate total BNA	18 9.1 45	
To more state make which make with which state which which state which which state which w	The state area area about state while while seeds about state area area area area area area area	(mg/kg)	
m samole #3	xylene	.6	
	lead	535	
	methanol	1.4	
	ethanol ·	2.9	
	2-propanol	1.5	
	1-propanol .	. 5	
	methyl ethyl ketone	1.0	
	butane	1.7	
	methyl isobutyl ketone	. 2	
,	toluene	- 1	

All the private homes in the area surrounding the site rely on wells. The pundwater within the Culpeper Basin occurs in two distinct, but connected, nes. The upper zone is comprised of soil and weathered bedrock and acts as low-permeability "sponge" for the lower bedrock zone. Groundwater in the drock typically occurs within the joints and fractures created by weathering a structural deformation. The mobility of contaminants in a fracured rock difer system can be very high. A concentrated source of contaminants within a upper aquifer could potentially ruin both aquifers. This could potentially fect approximately 2,177 persons who live within a three-mile radius of the section.

In addition to the groundwater threat, high levels of hazardous materials located very near the ground surface. The buried drums are located in a haveld and farm equipment is being used in the area. The stress of farm chinery being driven over these drums could result in a very volatile tuation, or at least rupture the drums and release the contents into the vironment.

The presence of drums containing waste solvents at or near to the surface represent a significant fire and explosion threat.

On June 19, 1987, the Virginia State Department of Health informed Mr. rs that a significant threat was present at the site. The State advised Mr. rs not to use his well water for consumption and that he should seek an arnative drinking water supply.

PROPOSED ACTIONS AND COSTS

The proposed project includes the excavation and staging of an estimated frums along with the associated contaminated soils. The staged materials be sampled to characterize the waste types present. Additional surveys be made of the remainder of the Myers property to ensure that no buried remain on the site. Disposal options will be evaluated to identify the cost-effective, environmentally sound, disposal method.

'stimated Costs

RCS PA IT IDITIONAL COSTS (transportation, disposal, analytical)	*	\$236,028 29,750 76,850 445,000
BTOTAL		\$787,628
(EPA HQ (Contingency)		118, 144
AL ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS		\$905.772

- 5 -

REGIONAL RECOMMENDATION

Because conditions at Jim's Liquid Waste site meet the criteria for a removal action under Section 300.65 of the National Contingency Plan, I ecommend your approval of this removal funding request. The estimated costs of his project are \$905,772, of which \$871,559 are for extramural contractor osts.

You may indicate your approval or disapproval by signing below.

PPROVAL	DATE
ISAPPROVAL	DATE